Physics 351 — Monday, April 6, 2020

- I'm Bill Ashmanskas (Bill, Dr Bill, Prof Bill, etc), filling in for Prof Liu today. I taught Phys 351 in 2015, 2017, 2018.
- ► Today's focus: Taylor §10.7 & §10.8.
- These slides will be on Canvas/files as p351\_notes\_20200406.pdf

I pre-recorded a few short mini-lectures on Euler equations: https://tinyurl.com/qoya2dz https://tinyurl.com/t76jlqz https://tinyurl.com/rrjdcza https://tinyurl.com/tuycjkf https://tinyurl.com/vhgt9ea

A B C  $\vec{V}_{introdictuly} = \vec{V}_{cm} + \vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}$ after import  $\vec{V}_{cm} = \frac{P}{m}(0, 0, -\frac{1}{6})$  $\vec{W} \times \vec{F}_{A} = \frac{P}{ma} (\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, 0) \times (-2a, -a, 0) = \frac{P}{m} (0, 0, \frac{1}{3})$  $\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_{B} = \frac{P}{ma} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, 0 \right) \times (0, -\alpha, 0) = \frac{P}{m} \left( 0, 0, -\frac{1}{6} \right)$  $\vec{\omega} \times \vec{r}_{c} = \frac{P}{ma} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, 0 \right) \times (+2a, -a, 0) = \frac{P}{m} \left( 0, 0, -\frac{2}{3} \right)$  $\vec{u} \times \vec{r}_{D} = \frac{P}{ma} \left( \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{7}, 0 \right) \times \left( 0, +a, 0 \right) = \frac{P}{m} \left( 0, 0, \frac{1}{6} \right)$  $\implies \vec{V}_{A} = \frac{P}{m}(0, 0, \frac{1}{6}) / \vec{V}_{c} = \frac{P}{m}(0, 0, -\frac{5}{6})$  $\vec{V}_{B} = \frac{P}{M} \left( 0, 0, -\frac{1}{3} \right) \quad \vec{V}_{D} = \frac{P}{M} \left( 0, 0, 0 \right)$ 

In[9]:=

$$\{1/6, 1/4, 0\} \times \{-2, -1, 0\}$$
  
Out[9]=  $\{0, 0, \frac{1}{3}\}$ 

 $ln[10]:= \{1/6, 1/4, 0\} \times \{0, -1, 0\}$ Out[10]=

$$\left[0, 0, -\frac{1}{6}\right]$$

 $\ln[11]:= \{1/6, 1/4, 0\} \times \{+2, -1, 0\}$ 

Out[11]=

$$\left\{0, 0, -\frac{2}{3}\right\}$$

 $ln[12]:= \{1/6, 1/4, 0\} \times \{0, +1, 0\}$ 

Out[12]=

$$\left[0, 0, \frac{1}{6}\right]$$

:▶ < ≣▶ ≣ • • • • •



What will the subsequently happen to  $V_{\rm cm}$ ? To L? To  $\omega$ ? To the orientations of the principal axes? With no applied torque, how does  $\omega$  evolve in time?





> https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=dVhGyxkBKzI https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4Ntgvun8GuY https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YKSEu\_c3YdY

I derive this more understandably in this mini-lecture video: https://tinyurl.com/qoya2dz

Space  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_2, \Gamma_3)$  $) = (\lambda, \omega, \lambda, \omega, )$ W  $\Gamma_3 = \lambda_2 \, \omega_2 + (\omega_1 L_2 - \omega_2 L_1)$  $= \lambda_{2} \omega_{2} + \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \lambda_{2} - \omega_{2} \omega_{1} \lambda_{2}$  $\Gamma_3 = \lambda_2 \omega_2 + (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \omega_1 \omega_2$  $\lambda_{z} \omega_{z} = \Gamma_{z} + (\lambda_{z} - \lambda_{z}) \omega_{z} \omega_{z}$  $\Gamma$ W  $(\lambda,$ - 1, ) W, W, = + - 2, ) WZ W, 12 +

DQC

I derive this more understandably in this mini-lecture video: https://tinyurl.com/qoya2dz



forque) + WXL  $\hat{\omega}_{2}\lambda_{2}, \hat{\omega}_{3}\lambda_{3}) = - \hat{\omega} \times (\omega_{1}\lambda_{3})$  $(\omega,$  $(\omega_2\omega_3\lambda_3 - \omega_3\omega_2\lambda_2, \omega_3\omega_1\lambda_1 - \omega_1\omega_3\lambda_3, \omega_1\omega_2\lambda_2)$ W, W.  $(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)$ ,  $\omega_1 \omega_3 (\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)$ ,  $\omega_1 \omega_2 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)$  $= \omega_2 \omega_3 \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_3)}{\lambda}$  $\omega_3 = \omega_1 \omega_2 \frac{\gamma_1 - \lambda_2}{1 - \lambda_2}$  $= \omega_1 \omega_2 \lambda_3 - \lambda_1$ 

・ロト ・ 理 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

3

It's fun to consider e.g.  $\lambda_3 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_1$  for tossed book.

I work through this (and the next page) more understandably in this mini-lecture video: https://tinyurl.com/t76jlqz

Start out e.g. about ez,  $>\lambda, >\rangle$ « Wy. h W, and W,  $W = W_2 W_3 \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_3}$  $\omega_{1} = \omega_{1}\omega_{2} \frac{\Lambda^{-}}{2}$  $\omega_1 \simeq \omega_2 \omega_3 \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_1}$ Small x Small > W3 > constart (varies  $\omega_2 = \omega_1 \omega_3 \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_3}$  $\mathcal{Y} \stackrel{\sim}{\omega} = \omega, \left(\omega_3 \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2}\right) \left(\omega_3 \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_2}\right) = -\omega,$ (WZ  $W_2 = W_1 W_3 \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} = W_2 (W_3 \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_1}) (W_3 \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2})$  $\tilde{\omega}_2 \simeq -\omega_2 \left( \omega_3^2 \left( \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_2} \right) \right)$ 

▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで、

I work through this (and the next page) more understandably in this mini-lecture video: https://tinyurl.com/t76jlqz

for torque-free (1=0) precession: -= 2: 13 = (W, W2, W) EL (+)= A, cos (,2+) - RA. SHOK - J. A. cas ( 1t

If you look down the  $\hat{e}_3$  axis, you'll see the tip of  $\omega$  tracing out an ellipse whose ratio of axis lengths is  $\sqrt{\frac{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_2)\lambda_2}{(\lambda_3 - \lambda_1)\lambda_1}}$ .

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{i}\dot{\omega}_{1} + \left[ \left( \omega_{i},\omega_{1},\omega_{2}\right) \times \left( \lambda_{i}\omega_{i},\lambda_{2}\omega_{2},\lambda_{3}\omega_{3}\right) \right]_{i} = 0 \\ \lambda_{i}\dot{\omega}_{1} + \left( \omega_{2}\lambda_{3}\omega_{3} - \omega_{3}\lambda_{2}\omega_{2}\right) = 0 \\ \lambda_{i}\dot{\omega}_{1} + \omega_{2}\omega_{3}\left( \lambda_{3} - \lambda_{2}\right) = 0 \qquad \left( -\int_{i}^{2} \right) \\ \dot{\omega}_{i} = \omega_{2}\omega_{3}\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}} \rightarrow \dot{\omega}_{i} = \dot{\omega}_{1}\left( \omega_{3}\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right) = \omega_{i}\left( \omega_{3}^{2}\frac{(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3})(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1})}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \\ \dot{\omega}_{2} = \omega_{3}\omega_{i}\frac{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{2}} \rightarrow \dot{\omega}_{2} = \dot{\omega}_{i}\left( \omega_{3}\frac{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{2}}\right) = \omega_{2}\left( \omega_{3}^{2}\frac{(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3})(\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1})}{\lambda_{2}}\right) \\ \omega_{i} = \lambda(\cos\int_{i}^{i}\int_{i}^{i$$

I work through this (and the next page) more understandably in this mini-lecture video: https://tinyurl.com/rrjdcza Start out about 12 < 13), 50 both × W. initially.  $\omega = \omega_2 \omega_2 \stackrel{A_2}{\rightharpoonup}$ J (Small) - W2 (W2 13-1/(12) 2

|▲□▶▲圖▶▲≣▶▲≣▶ = ● ● ●

Start out about ez, so w, and wz « wz initially.  $\omega_2 = \omega_1 \omega_3 \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \sim (Small)^2$ W\_ = W\_2 UZ 12-23  $\underset{W_{1}}{\overset{\circ}{}} \simeq \omega_{2} \left( \omega_{1} \omega_{2} \frac{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}} \right) \frac{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}} = + \omega_{1} \left( \omega_{2}^{2} \frac{(\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{1})(\lambda_{3} - \lambda_{2})}{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}} \right)$  $\dot{\omega}_{3} \simeq \left(\omega_{2}\omega_{3} \quad \frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\omega_{2} \quad \frac{\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{3}} = +\omega_{3}\left(\omega_{2}^{2}\frac{\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)\omega_{2}$ 1/13-22/ => exponential growth of winwz -> initial motion about êz won't stay about

(\*日)\*\*園\*\*国\*\*国\*\*国\*\* (日)\*\*

Video from two 2015 students traveling back from spring break: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bVpPp1e\_1Z4

Astronaut version: https://youtu.be/fPI-rSwAQNg

```
Cosmonaut version (!): Dzhanibekov effect 
https://youtu.be/dL6Pt10_gSE
```

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=BGRWg4aV2mw

```
Someone's quasi-intuitive explanation:
http://mathoverflow.net/questions/81960/
the-dzhanibekov-effect-an-exercise-in-mechanics-or-fiction-explain-mathemat
```



= 
$$6ma^2$$
,  $\lambda_2 = 8ma^2$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 14ma^2$ .  
Where and body axes coincide at  $t = 0$ .  
=  $\frac{P}{ma}(\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{4}, 0)$ .  $\boldsymbol{L} \equiv aP(1, 2, 0)$ .  
 $\dot{\omega}_1 = \omega_2\omega_3\frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_1}$   
 $\dot{\omega}_2 = \omega_3\omega_1\frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2}$   
 $\dot{\omega}_3 = \omega_1\omega_2\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{\lambda_3}$ 

 $\label{eq:http://positron.hep.upenn.edu/p351/files/0327_strucktriangle.nb http://positron.hep.upenn.edu/p351/files/0327_strucktriangle.pdf http://positron.hep.upenn.edu/p351/files/0327_strucktriangle_230.avi https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IMBRIyxDLss Consider how you would go about calculating the <math>(x, y, z)$  (space) positions of vertices A, C, D vs. time. I did it by keeping track of the (x, y, z) coordinates of the unit vectors  $\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3$  as a function of time.

(Neglecting Ven, as I did in animation) +292, -982 aez ·Zaê 5 2 9 8 Ven Dod in 0 1954 Space Space UDdat 10 me updat body. Ima

900

Torque-free precession of symmetric top:

Hen X, w, =  $I_{X,=Z_{r}}$  $I \in \lambda_{i} =$ 12 1 んミー If  $\Gamma_2 = 0$  then  $\omega_3 = const.$  Suppose  $\Gamma = 0$ . -13) W2 W2 let ) wzwy - W,  $w = - \mathcal{L} w,$ = W Cosset N No =- No shilt

As seen from body frame,  $\omega$  precesses about  $\hat{e}_3$  with frequency  $\Omega$ . As seen from the body frame, what does L do?

くしゃ 本面 そうかん ほう うめんろ

What does the situation look like from the space frame?

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  then  $\lambda_2 \omega_2 = 1$  $I_{X,=} \mathcal{C},$ If I3=0 then w3 = const. Suppose I = 0.  $\lambda \omega_1 = P_1 + (\lambda - \lambda_2) \omega_2 \omega_3$ let. 12/  $\dot{w}_2 = P_2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda) w_2 w_1$  $W_1 = \mathcal{L} W_2$ ,  $W_2 = -\mathcal{L} W_2$ -> W = W cosset 12=-No shilt

As seen from body frame, L and  $\omega$  precess about (fixed)  $\hat{e}_3$  with frequency  $\Omega_b \equiv \Omega = \omega_3(\lambda - \lambda_3)/\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

As seen from the space frame,  $\hat{e}_3$  and  $\omega$  precess about (fixed) L, at a frequency that takes some effort to calculate. (You'll calculate the space-frame precession frequency,  $\Omega_s$ , on a future HW problem. It is much more involved than you might expect.)

http://demonstrations.wolfram.com/FreePrecessionOfARotatingRigidBody/



As seen from body frame, L and  $\omega$  precess about (fixed)  $\hat{e}_3$  with frequency  $\Omega_b \equiv \Omega = \omega_3(\lambda - \lambda_3)/\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

As seen from the space frame,  $\hat{e}_3$  and  $\omega$  precess about (fixed) L, at frequency  $\Omega_s = L/\lambda_1$ , which you'll prove in the HW.

From the final exam for the course I took, fall 1990. (This turns out to be the same problem as appears in Feynman's story of the cafeteria plate that wobbles as it flies through the air.)

An infinitely thin, uniform, square plate of mass m and side d is allowed to undergo rotation. At time t = 0, the normal to the plate,  $\hat{e}_3$ , is aligned with  $\hat{z}$ , but the angular velocity vector  $\underline{\omega}$  deviates from  $\hat{z}$  by a small angle  $\alpha$ . Work the entire problem to first order in  $\alpha$ , i.e. drop terms of  $0(\alpha^2)$  or higher.



(a) Show I =  $I_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$  and find  $I_0$ .

- (b) Find the maximum angle between  $\widehat{z}$  and  $\widehat{e_3}$  during subsequent motion of the plate.
- (c) When is this maximum deviation first reached?

If  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$  then  $\lambda_2 \omega_2 = \Gamma_2 (I \alpha_2 = C_2)$ If  $\Pi_{z=0}$  then  $w_{z} = const.$  Suppose  $\Pi = 0$ .  $\lambda_{\mu} = \mathcal{F} + (\lambda - \lambda_3) \omega_2 \omega_3$ llet )= (  $\lambda \dot{w}_2 = p_2 + (\lambda_3 - \lambda) w_3 w_1$  $W_1 = \mathcal{N}_W_2$ ,  $W_2 = -\mathcal{N}_W_2$ -> W = W Cosset IN =- HOSMILT ミレィ

As seen from body frame, L and  $\omega$  precess about (fixed)  $\hat{e}_3$  with frequency  $\Omega_b \equiv \Omega = \omega_3(\lambda - \lambda_3)/\lambda$ , where  $\lambda = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ .

As seen from the space frame,  $\hat{e}_3$  and  $\omega$  precess about (fixed) L, at frequency  $\Omega_s = L/\lambda_1$ , which you'll prove in the HW.

http://demonstrations.wolfram.com/FreePrecessionOfARotatingRigidBody/

If 3=0 then  $O = \left(\frac{d\ell}{d\tilde{e}}\right)_{\text{space}} = \left(\frac{d\ell}{d\tilde{e}}\right)_{\text{body}} + O \times \zeta$  $\Rightarrow$   $(\lambda, \dot{u}, \lambda, \dot{\omega}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{u}, \dot{u}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{u}, \dot{u}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{u}, \dot{\lambda}, \dot{\lambda},$  $\lambda_{3}\omega_{3} = -(\omega, \omega_{2}\lambda_{2} - \omega_{2}\omega, \lambda_{1}) = \omega_{1}\omega_{2}(\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2})$  $\dot{\omega}_{2} = \lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2} \omega_{1} \omega_{2}$  $\omega_1 = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_3}{\omega_2 \omega_3}$ W2 = 13-2 W30, A= = then wz = 0  $\frac{1}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3} w_5 w_2 \equiv \int \xi w_2$ Taylor . ω, = 10.93 Convention  $=|\lambda_3-\lambda_{\omega_3}|\omega_1$ = - RLW, w2  $\frac{\omega}{\omega} = -\mathcal{N}_{1}^{2}\omega_{1}$  $\ddot{\omega}_{2} = -\mathcal{X}_{1}\omega_{2}$  $\omega_z = \omega_z$   $\omega_z = \omega_z (\omega_z) t = \omega_z (\omega_z) t$  $\omega_1 = \Lambda_2 \omega_2 = -\Lambda_2 \omega_1$ 0<12 St >0  $\rightarrow \omega$ , ⇒w, precession ~ - R3 precession ~ + ez

The vector representing the precession of W and at is about is in the body frame is Rody = - Rie = - 1-34 6 Writing (as we derived last time) (This show that W, L, e, are coplanan  $\omega = \frac{\omega}{\lambda} + \mathcal{R}_{b} e_{3}$ We get (dl) =+RJez)×C = - $\left(\frac{d\zeta}{d\tilde{E}}\right)_{body}$ Rí êz Ξ )×L = Rbody x L Since Ly, i, is are coppror (for 1= he, T=D) (dw) body = Rody \* W  $\frac{\left(\frac{d\hat{e}_{3}}{d\hat{e}_{3}}\right)}{\left(\frac{d\hat{e}_{3}}{d\hat{e}_{3}}\right)^{2}po(e)} = \left(\frac{\underline{k}}{\lambda_{1}} + \Omega_{k}\hat{e}_{3}\right)$ Meanshile + wxez ) xez = R space Xe de) 950 = Reporce X W Space 2 • ≣ ∙

э

We derived last time (2,=2, T=0)  $= \frac{\lambda_1 \omega_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \lambda_1 \omega_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \lambda_3 \omega_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3}{\lambda} = \omega_1 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_1 + \omega_2 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \omega_3 \hat{\mathbf{e}}_3$  $= w_1 \hat{e}_1 + w_2 \hat{e}_2 + w_3 \hat{e}_3 + \lambda_3 w_3 \hat{e}_3 - w_3$  $+ \frac{\lambda_3 - \lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \omega_3 e_3$ ž ~ space = ~ = W + R body

|▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ | 圖|||のへで

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega_1 \boldsymbol{\hat{e}}_1 + \omega_2 \boldsymbol{\hat{e}}_2 + \omega_3 \boldsymbol{\hat{e}}_3$$

symmetric top:  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \Rightarrow L = \lambda_1 \omega_1 \hat{e}_1 + \lambda_1 \omega_2 \hat{e}_2 + \lambda_3 \omega_3 \hat{e}_3$ 

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{L}}{\lambda_1} = \omega_1 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 + \omega_2 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1} \omega_3 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \omega_1 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 + \omega_2 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 + \omega_3 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 + \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_1} \omega_3 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 - \omega_3 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$$

Last line proves that  $oldsymbol{\omega}$ ,  $oldsymbol{L}$ , and  $oldsymbol{\hat{e}}_3$  are coplanar (for  $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$ ).

Torque-free (10.94):  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega_0 \cos(\Omega_b t) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 - \omega_0 \sin(\Omega_b t) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 + \omega_3 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$ 

Key trick for understanding "space" and "body" cones: decompose  $\omega$  into one part that points along L and one part that points along (or opposite)  $\hat{e}_3$ . [Sign of  $\Omega_b$  depends on  $\lambda_1$  vs.  $\lambda_3$  magnitudes.]



(a) Body frame

(b) Space frame

Figure 10.9 An axially symmetric body (shown here as a prolate spheroid or "egg-shaped" solid) is rotating with angular velocity  $\omega$ , not in the direction of any of the principal axes. (a) As seen in the body frame, both  $\omega$  and L precess about the symmetry axis,  $\mathbf{e}_3$ , with angular frequency  $\Omega_{\rm b}$  given by (10.93). (b) As seen in the space frame, L is fixed, and both  $\omega$  and  $\mathbf{e}_3$  precess about **L** with frequency  $\Omega_s$  given by (10.96).

Torque-free precession of axially symmetric  $(\lambda_1 = \lambda_2)$  rigid body

$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \frac{\boldsymbol{L}}{\lambda_1} + \Omega_b \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_b = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_3}{\lambda_1} \omega_3$$
$$\boldsymbol{\omega} = \omega_0 \cos(\Omega_b t) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 - \omega_0 \sin(\Omega_b t) \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 + \omega_3 \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$$

 $\Omega_{\text{space}} = L/\lambda_1$  points along L. Describes precession of  $\omega$  (and  $\hat{e}_3$ ) about L as seen in space frame.

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3}{\mathrm{d}t} = \boldsymbol{\omega} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{L}}{\lambda_1} + \Omega_b \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3\right) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \left(\frac{\boldsymbol{L}}{\lambda_1}\right) \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{space}} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$$

 $\Omega_{\text{body}} = -\Omega_b \hat{e}_3$  points along  $\hat{e}_3$  if  $\lambda_3 > \lambda_1$  (oblate, frisbee) and points opposite  $\hat{e}_3$  if  $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$  (prolate, US football). Describes precession of  $\omega$  (and L) about  $\hat{e}_3$  as seen in body frame.

$$\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}\boldsymbol{L}}{\mathrm{d}t}\right)_{\mathrm{body}} = -\boldsymbol{\omega} \times \boldsymbol{L} = -\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{L}}{\lambda_1} + \Omega_b \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3\right) \times \boldsymbol{L} = (-\Omega_b \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3) \times \boldsymbol{L} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{\mathrm{body}} \times \boldsymbol{L}$$

$$\Omega_{\mathrm{space}} = \omega + \Omega_{\mathrm{body}}$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

8. [This problem is adapted from a problem on the final exam I took for the analogous course in fall 1990.] A uniform, infinitesimally thick, square plate of mass m and side length d is allowed to undergo torque-free rotation. At time t = 0, the normal to the plate,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$ , is aligned with  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , but the angular velocity vector  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  deviates from  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$  by a small angle  $\alpha$ . The figure below depicts the situation at time t = 0, at which time  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(\cos \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} + \sin \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{x}})$ .



time t=0 (a) Show that  $\underline{I} = I_0 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ and find the constant  $I_0$ .

(b) Calculate  $\boldsymbol{L}$  at t = 0.

 $\hat{x}$  (c) Sketch  $\hat{e}_3$ ,  $\omega$ , and L at t=0.

(d) Draw/label "body cone" and "space cone" on your sketch.

8. [This problem is adapted from a problem on the final exam I took for the analogous course in fall 1990.] A uniform, infinitesimally thick, square plate of mass m and side length d is allowed to undergo torque-free rotation. At time t = 0, the normal to the plate,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$ , is aligned with  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , but the angular velocity vector  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  deviates from  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$  by a small angle  $\alpha$ . The figure below depicts the situation at time t = 0, at which time  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(\cos \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} + \sin \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{x}})$ .



(e) Calculate precession frequencies  $\Omega_{body}$  and  $\Omega_{space}$ . Indicate directions of precession vectors  $\Omega_{body}$  and  $\Omega_{space}$  on drawing.

(f) You argue in HW that  $\Omega_{space} = \Omega_{body} + \omega$ . Verify (by writing out components) that this relationship holds for the  $\Omega_{space}$  and  $\Omega_{body}$  that you calculate for t = 0. 8. [This problem is adapted from a problem on the final exam I took for the analogous course in fall 1990.] A uniform, infinitesimally thick, square plate of mass m and side length d is allowed to undergo torque-free rotation. At time t = 0, the normal to the plate,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$ , is aligned with  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , but the angular velocity vector  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  deviates from  $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$  by a small angle  $\alpha$ . The figure below depicts the situation at time t = 0, at which time  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1 = \hat{\boldsymbol{x}}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2 = \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}$ ,  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3 = \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(\cos \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{z}} + \sin \alpha \hat{\boldsymbol{x}})$ .



(g) Find the maximum angle between  $\hat{z}$  and  $\hat{e}_3$  during subsequent motion of the plate. Show that in the limit  $\alpha \ll 1$ , this maximum angle equals  $\alpha$ .

(h) When is this maximum deviation first reached?

video: https://www.youtube.com/
watch?v=oH-dlrIF010

**Problem:** A uniform rectangular solid of mass m and dimensions  $a \times a \times a\sqrt{3}$  (volume  $\sqrt{3} a^3$ ) is allowed to undergo torque-free rotation. At time t = 0, the long axis (length  $a\sqrt{3}$ ) of the solid is aligned with  $\hat{z}$ , but the angular velocity vector  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  deviates from  $\hat{z}$  by a small angle  $\alpha$ . The figure depicts the situation at time t = 0, at which time  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{e}_2 = \hat{y}$ ,  $\hat{e}_3 = \hat{z}$ , and  $\boldsymbol{\omega} = \boldsymbol{\omega}(\cos \alpha \hat{z} + \sin \alpha \hat{x})$ .

(a) Show (or argue) that the inertia tensor  
has the form 
$$\underline{I} = I_0 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and find

the constant  $I_0$ .



(b) Calculate the angular momentum vector L at t = 0. Write L(t = 0) both in terms of  $\hat{e}_1, \hat{e}_2, \hat{e}_3$  and in terms of  $\hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}$ . Which of these two expressions will continue to be valid into the future?

(c) Draw a sketch showing the vectors  $\hat{e}_3$ ,  $\omega$ , and L at t = 0. Be sure that the relative orientation of L and  $\omega$  makes sense. This relative orientation is different for egg-shaped ("prolate") objects  $(\lambda_3 < \lambda_1)$  than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .

(d) Draw and label the "body cone" and the "space cone" on your sketch.

(e) Calculate the precession frequencies  $\Omega_{\rm body}$  and  $\Omega_{\rm space}$ . Indicate the directions of the precession vectors  $\Omega_{\rm body}$  and  $\Omega_{\rm space}$  on your drawing. Be careful with the "sign" of the  $\Omega_{\rm body}$  vector, i.e. be careful not to draw  $-\Omega_{\rm body}$  when you mean to draw  $\Omega_{\rm body}$ .

(f) You will argue in a HW problem that  $\Omega_{space} = \Omega_{body} + \omega$ . Verify (by writing out components) that this relationship holds for the  $\Omega_{space}$  and  $\Omega_{body}$  that you calculate for t = 0.

(g) In the  $\alpha \ll 1$  limit (so  $\tan \alpha \approx \alpha$ ,  $\tan(2\alpha) \approx 2\alpha$ , etc.), find the maximum angle between  $\hat{z}$  and  $\hat{e}_3$  during subsequent motion of the solid. (This should be some constant factor times  $\alpha$ .) A simple argument is sufficient here, no calculation.

(h) At what time t is this maximum deviation first reached?

(This problem shows that for an American-football-like object, the frequency of the wobbling motion is smaller than the frequency of the spinning motion — which is opposite the conclusion that you reached for the flying dinner plate, whose wobbling was twice as fast as its spinning.)

Problem 1.

A uniform rectangular solid of mass m and dimensions  $a \times a \times a \times a \sqrt{3}$  (volume  $\sqrt{3} a^3$ ) is allowed to undergo torque-free rotation. At time t = 0, the long axis (length  $a\sqrt{3}$ ) of the solid is aligned with  $\hat{z}$ , but the angular velocity vector  $\omega$  deviates from  $\hat{z}$  by a small angle  $\alpha$ . The figure depicts the situation at time t = 0, at which time  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{e}_2 = \hat{y}$ ,  $\hat{e}_3 = \hat{z}$ , and  $\omega = \omega(\cos\alpha\hat{z} + \sin\alpha\hat{x})$ . (about the COM (time t=0) (a) Show (or argue) that the inertia tensor has the form  $\underline{I} = I_0 \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and find the constant } I_0.$ Ixy = - fxy dm = 0 by symmetry, as for off-diagonal elements, at. 1 <Q' 911  $I_{22} = \int (x^2 + y^2) dm = \frac{m}{12} (a^2 + a^2) = \frac{1}{2} ma^2 using$ result for flat plate from backpage of Pkan  $T_{XY} = \int (y^2 + z^2) dm = \frac{M}{12} (a^2 + (\sqrt{2}a)^2) = \frac{1}{2} ma^2 \frac{1}{12}$ , la using flat plate  $I_0 = \frac{1}{10} ma^2$ .Ss = 2, = 2Io

(b) Calculate the angular momentum vector  $\boldsymbol{L}$  at t = 0. Write  $\boldsymbol{L}(t = 0)$  both in terms of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_1, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_2, \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_3$  and in terms of  $\hat{\boldsymbol{x}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{y}}, \hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ . Which of these two expressions will continue to be valid into the future?

 $L = I = M = \lambda_1 \omega_1 \hat{e}_1 + \lambda_3 \omega_3 \hat{e}_3 = 2I_0 \omega_{SIAK} \hat{e}_1 + I_0 \omega_{COSK} \hat{e}_3$ Since at t=0  $\hat{e}_1 = \hat{x}$ ,  $\hat{e}_2 = \hat{z}$ ,  $\hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi} + \hat{\psi} + \hat{z}$ . We can also write L = 2Iowsindx + Iowcosk2. This second expression remains true for £>0, because  $O = \mathcal{X} = \left(\frac{dL}{dt}\right)_{\text{space}} \implies L \text{ is constant in space frame for }$ The unit voctors ê; will rotate with the body. In particular, page 2 of 11 we will see I, and Lz are not constant.

(c) Draw a sketch showing the vectors 
$$\hat{e}_3$$
,  $\omega$ , and  $L$  at  $t = 0$ . Be sure that the relative orientation  
of  $L$  and  $\omega$  makes sense. This relative orientation is different for egg-shaped ("prolate") objects  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$ ) than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$  than it is for frisbee-like ("oblate") objects  $(\lambda_3 > \lambda_1)$ .  
 $\lambda_3 < \lambda_1$  that  $L = 2 + \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda$ 

(e) Calculate the precession frequencies  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{space}}$ . Indicate the directions of the precession vectors  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{space}}$  on your drawing. Be careful with the "sign" of the  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  vector, i.e. be careful not to draw  $-\Omega_{\text{body}}$  when you mean to draw  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$ .

(e) Calculate the precession frequencies  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{space}}$ . Indicate the directions of the precession vectors  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{space}}$  on your drawing. Be careful with the "sign" of the  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  vector, i.e. be careful not to draw  $-\Omega_{\text{body}}$  when you mean to draw  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$ .

$$\int_{\infty} space = \frac{L}{\lambda_{1}} = Wsink + \frac{\lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{1}} Wcosk = W(sink + \frac{1}{2}cosk =)$$

$$= \left(\frac{W}{\lambda_{1}} \sqrt{cosk} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}Wcosk = W(sink + \frac{1}{2}cosk =)\right)^{1/2}$$

$$A+ t=0, \quad \int_{\infty} space = Wsink + \frac{1}{2}Wcosk + \frac{1}{2}Wcosk + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\int_{\infty} body = \frac{\lambda_{3} - \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}} W_{2} + \frac{1}{2} = \frac{1}{2} W_{3} + \frac{1}{2} Wcosk + \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\frac{W_{3} = W_{1}W_{2}}{W_{2}} + \frac{\lambda_{1} - \lambda_{2}}{\lambda_{2}} = 0$$

$$W_{1} = W_{2}W_{3} + \frac{\lambda_{2} - \lambda_{3}}{2} = \frac{1}{2} W_{3} + \frac{1}{2} Wcosk + \frac{1}{2$$

$$\omega_{2} = \omega_{3}\omega_{1} \frac{\lambda_{3}-\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega_{3}\omega_{1} = -(\frac{1}{2}\omega\cos\lambda)\omega_{1}$$

<ロ> <四> <四> <三> <三> <三> <三> <三</p>

(f) You argued in HW11 that  $\Omega_{\text{space}} = \Omega_{\text{body}} + \omega$ . Verify (by writing out components) that this relationship holds for the  $\Omega_{\text{space}}$  and  $\Omega_{\text{body}}$  that you calculate for t = 0.

At t=0, 
$$\mathcal{N}_{body} = -\frac{1}{2}\omega\cos\varkappa\hat{e}_{3}$$
  
 $\omega = \omega\cos\varkappa\hat{e}_{3} + \omega\sin\varkappa\hat{e}_{1}$   
 $\frac{\omega}{\alpha d\alpha + 0} + \frac{1}{2}\omega\cos\varkappa\hat{e}_{3} + \omega\sin\varkappa\hat{e}_{1}$   
At t=0,  $\mathcal{N}_{space} = \omega\sin\varkappa\hat{e}_{1} + \frac{1}{2}\omega\cos\varkappa\hat{e}_{3}$ 

(g) In the  $\alpha \ll 1$  limit (so  $\tan \alpha \approx \alpha$ ,  $\tan(2\alpha) \approx 2\alpha$ , etc.), find the maximum angle between  $\hat{z}$  and  $\hat{e}_3$  during subsequent motion of the solid. (This should be some constant factor times  $\alpha$ .) A simple argument is sufficient here, no calculation.

The initial angle between 
$$\hat{e}_{3}$$
 and  $\not{\leftarrow}$  is  $\beta = \operatorname{atan}(2\tan \alpha) \simeq 2\alpha$ .  
This angle is a constant of the motion, because  $L_{3} = \lambda_{3}W_{3} = \operatorname{constant}^{2}$   
and  $\not{\leftarrow}$  space (hence magnitude of  $\not{\leftarrow}$  body) is constant. As shown  
on diagram (C),  $\not{\leftarrow}_{1}W_{2}, \hat{e}_{3}$  remain coplenar. So in the space  
frame,  $\not{\lor}$  and  $\hat{e}_{3}$  both process about  $\not{\leftarrow}$  with frequency Respace.  
Maximum angle between  $\hat{e}_{3}$  and  $\hat{e}_{3}$   
is  $|2\beta \simeq 4\alpha|$ .  
(h) At what time t is this maximum deviation first reached?  
one-half period of Respece:  
 $r = \frac{\pi}{R_{space}} = \frac{2\pi}{W\sqrt{1+3sin^{2}}} \simeq \frac{2\pi}{W} (\simeq \frac{2\pi}{W_{2}} \text{ if } d<\epsilon_{1})$   
So the precession ("wobble") hes  $\simeq$  half the  
Grequency of the "spin" if  $d << 1$ .

(This problem shows that for an American-football-like object, the frequency of the wobbling motion is smaller than the frequency of the spinning motion — which is opposite the conclusion that you reached for the flying dinner plate, whose wobbling was twice as fast as its spinning.) We won't go over this, as Prof Liu covered this topic last week, but I'll leave it here as an example. You might especially find it useful that Mathematica (or Wolfram Alpha) can easily find eigenvalues and eigenvectors for you. (See later pages.)

(Taylor 10.35) A rigid body consists of:

 $\begin{array}{rcl} m \mbox{ at } (a,0,0) &=& a(1,\ 0,\ 0) \\ 2m \mbox{ at } (0,a,a) &=& a(0,\ 1,\ 1) \\ 3m \mbox{ at } (0,a,-a) &=& a(0,\ 1,-1) \\ \mbox{Find inertia tensor } \underline{I}, \mbox{ its principal moments, and the principal axes.} \end{array}$ 

 $I_{kk} = Z_{M}(y^{2}+z^{2}) = Ma^{2}(Z^{2}+2^{3}) = 10ma^{2}$  $I_{yy} = Z_m(x^2+z^2) = Ma^2(1+z+3) = 6ma^2$  $I_{22} = Zm(x^2+y^2) = mq^2(1+2+3) = (emq^2)$  $I_{xy} = -\Sigma m_{xy} = -ma^2(0) = 0$  $I_{XZ} = - Z_{MXZ} = -MG^{2}(0) = 0$  $I_{yz} = -\Sigma my_{z} = -ma^{2}(2-3) = ma^{2}$  $\begin{aligned}
\Xi &= \begin{pmatrix} 10 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 6 & 1 \end{pmatrix} Ma^2 \\
& & & & & \\ 0 & 1 & 6 \end{pmatrix}
\end{aligned}$ ▲ロ ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ▶ ▲ 圖 ■ ● ● ● ●

 $\omega = \lambda \omega \implies (\underline{I} \rightarrow \lambda)$  $\det(\underline{T} - \lambda \underline{1}) = 0$  $0 = (10 - \lambda)(6 - \lambda)^{2} - (10 - \lambda) \Rightarrow \lambda = 10 \text{ or } (6 - \lambda)^{2} = 1$   $b - \lambda = 1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 5, \quad 6 - \lambda = -1 \Rightarrow \lambda = 7 \quad \lambda \in \{10, 7, 5\}$ 

▲ロ▶ ▲圖▶ ▲ 国▶ ▲ 国▶ ― 国 … のへで

57 maz 10, 10-10 0 0 0 3 D = 6-10 С = ۵ -4 6-10 0 0 00 4 0 シュ ΰ 6-y2 0 -2 0 0 0 2 0 10 33 -0 6-5 0 5



## eigenvectors {{10,0,0},{0,6,1},{0,1,6}}

📟 🖸 🖽 🐬



 $In[1]:= m = \{\{10, 0, 0\}, \{0, 6, 1\}, \{0, 1, 6\}\}$  $Dut[1]= \{\{10, 0, 0\}, \{0, 6, 1\}, \{0, 1, 6\}\}$ 

In[2]:= MatrixForm[m]

2]//MatrixForm=

 $\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
10 & 0 & 0\\
0 & 6 & 1\\
0 & 1 & 6
\end{array}\right)$ 

In[3]:= Eigenvalues[m]
Dut[3]= {10, 7, 5}

≣▶ ≣ ∽େ ରେ ୍